Crimespeak 2001 SECRET EQUITY and traffic court fraud AND HOW IT AFFECTS YOU!!

From link#

HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/secret_equity.htm"

http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/secret_equity.htm

thanks to Tom D for this

USE THIS NAVIGATIONAL BAR TO NAVIGATE THE SITE
"LEFT CLICK" WITH YOUR MOUSE ON THE DESIRED LOCATION
ALL UN-VISITED LINKS ARE LIME,
ACTIVE LINKS ARE RED,
VISITED LINKS ARE YELLOW.

HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/index.htm" HOME HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/about us.htm" ABOUT US HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/guest_speaker.htm" GUEST SPEAKER HYPERLINK

"http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/legal_cases.htm" LEGAL CASES HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/news.htm"

NEWS HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/informational_packets.htm" INFORMATIONAL PACKETS

HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/faq.htm" FAO HYPERLINK

"http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/contact_us.htm" CONTACT US HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/links.htm"

<u>LINKS</u> HYPERLINK "<a href="http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/seminar.htm" seminar http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/seminar.htm" seminar http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/seminar.htm" seminar http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/seminar.htm" seminar.htm" seminar.htm

"http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/rogues_gallery.htm" ROGUE'S GALLERY

Traffic "court" and "Their" Secret Equity

"SECRET EQUITY" -- the "Philosophy" of the Conspiracy --

Defined and, then Translated

EQUITY --

As True Equity was Created and Evolved

"... this term denotes the spirit and the habit of fairness, justness, and right dealing which would regulate the intercourse of men, --- the rule of doing to all others as we desire them to do to us; or, as it is expressed by Justinian, "to live honestly, to harm no man, to render to every man his due." <u>Inst.</u> 1,1,3.

EOUITY

Encrypted Version Defined

Black's Law Dictionary 4th ed.

"As <u>old rules</u> become <u>too narrow</u>, or are felt to be out of harmony with <u>advancing civilization</u>, a <u>machinery</u> is needed for their gradual enlargement and adaptation to <u>new views of society</u>. One mode of accomplishing this object on a large scale, <u>without appearing to disregard existing law</u>, is the introduction, by the <u>prerogative of some high functionary</u>, of a more <u>perfect body of rules</u>, discoverable in <u>his judicial conscience</u>, which is <u>to stand side by side</u> with the <u>law of the land</u>, <u>overriding it in case of conflict</u>, as on some <u>title of inherent superiority</u>, but <u>not purporting to repeal it</u>. Such a body of rules has been called 'Equity' "Holl. Jur. 59.

Now, let us decipher the true meaning of this cryptic message, which those involved in this massive collusion intend to impose by, and through, "Their" covert conspiracy, by Levying War, Art. 3, sect. 3, against the Law of the Land and its People, within a government de jure is an act of treason.

LATENT or SECRET EQUITY -- Defined

Black's Law Dictionary, 4th ed.

"An equitable claim or right -- which has been <u>concealed</u> from one or several persons interested in the subject matter." (Question! -- How can "equity" be "equity" -- and at the same time be secret?)

"SECRET EQUITY"

Decoded 1 - 14, below

Definitions must be taken from West Law Dictionaries, Ballentine's Law & Oxford Dictionary --

- 1. "As old rules (Common Law Rules*) become too narrow (too troublesome),
- 2. or are felt to be out of harmony (conformity) with
- 3. <u>advancing civilization</u>, ** advancing money or commodities furnished on credit / civilization judgment which renders a criminal process civil (In Practice)
- 4. a "machinery" (a complex "combination" of a "a conspiracy, or confederation of men for unlawful or violent deeds")
- **5.** is needed for their gradual enlargement and <u>adaptation</u> (<u>made fit by alteration</u>) i.e., 17th Amend. not an Amendment, but an alteration, which caused the de jure right to vote into a franchise & privilege because we now directly vote for Senators (Congress, now at large) rather than the state legislators.
- 6. to new views of <u>society</u> ("<u>societe</u>" -- <u>see: commendam commercial law</u>) -- A specie of limited <u>partnership</u> -- (Aristo-democracy) -- A form of government where the power is <u>divided</u> between the nobles (or more powerful) and the people.
- **7.** One mode of accomplishing this object on a large scale, without appearing to disregard existing law, (self explanatory)
- 8. is the introduction, by the <u>prerogative</u> -- "that power, pre-eminence, or privilege which the king hath or claimeth over and beyond other persons, and above the ordinary course of the common law, in right of his crown."
- 9. of some high functionary, "An officer of a private corporation."
- 10. of a more <u>perfect body of rules</u>, (<u>equity maxums</u>) -- <u>perfect complete & enforceable / <u>body</u> a corporation; an unincorporated association -- <u>of rules</u> "technical term for times when motions are by the court ---</u>
- 11. discoverable in <u>his judicial conscience</u>, -- [a metaphorical term] -- his judicial **Pertaining to courts and** their function; conscience "Springs from some internal source of self-knowledge, which acknowledges no superior, bows to no authority, yields to no demonstration, and is governed by no law; it ignores reason, defies argument, and is unaccountable and irresponsible to all human test and standards; it is a law unto itself, and its scruples and its teachings are not amenable to human tribunals, but rest alone with its possessor and his God (or god).
- 12. which is to stand side by side with the law of the land Constitution, due process, positive law;
- 13. overriding it in case of conflict, as on some title of <u>inherent superiority</u> <u>inherent</u> **Intrinsic to a thing or person / superiority** Entitled to command, influence, or control over another;
- 14. but not purporting to repeal it. (Self-explanatory)

Such a body of rules has been called [Secret] "Equity.' "

Holl. Jur. 59. [Had enough?]

Note: The phrases "without appearing to disregard existing law" and "but not purporting to repeal it" are the secret practices within Secret Equity. The true meaning of this paragraph is, as you now understand, veiled and made obscure by cryptic "legalesse" so not to awaken the American People. You will find this paragraph in its un-decoded form within Black's Law Dic., 4th ed, p.634, mid 2nd column, 1968 copyright.

TRAFFIC COURTS

THE REAL TRUTH!! SEE BELLOW

USE THIS NAVIGATIONAL BAR TO NAVIGATE THE SITE
"LEFT CLICK" WITH YOUR MOUSE ON THE DESIRED LOCATION
ALL UN-VISITED LINKS ARE LIME,
ACTIVE LINKS ARE RED,
VISITED LINKS ARE YELLOW.

HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/index.htm" HOME
HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/about_us.htm" ABOUT US
HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/guest_speaker.htm" GUEST SPEAKER
HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/legal_cases.htm" LEGAL CASES
HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/news.htm" NEWS

HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/informational_packets.htm" INFORMATIONAL PACKETS

HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/faq.htm" FAQ

HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/contact_us.htm" CONTACT US

HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/links.htm" LINKS

CONTROL DefaultOcxName HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/seminar.htm" SEMINAR

HYPERLINK "http://crimespeak2001.tripod.com/rogues_gallery.htm" ROGUE'S GALLERY

You are presumed
Inocent
UNTIL
You are Found Guilty
In

A Court of Law

<u>Court of Law</u> - "a court proceeding according to the <u>course</u> of the <u>Common Law</u> and government by its <u>rules and principles</u>, as <u>contrasted</u> with a "<u>court of equity</u>."

Traffic Court is not a "court of law," it is not even an inferior, summary court. It is an administrative agency hearing "tribunal" -- performing quasi-judicial acts, which are none judicial, and in which there exists no imunity for either the "judge" or "prosecutor" -- and if the police officer, or his superiors are notified that the entire process is void, because it is "irregular on its face" (see: Regular on it's Face, herein) the police cannot claim a "good faith" act, and is also enforced in Your attack upon these unconstitutional proceedings and void statutes. You must void them !!

TRAFFIC COURT
The Facts &Truths
Relative to:

The Right to Travel is a Constitutional Right under the Fifth Amendment

as the below cites will verify -- <u>the question is</u> -- <u>how do they deny such an unalienable right</u>?

<u>And, how do We enforce that Right</u>?

It is realized that many of You will know some of this material -- however, I guarantee everyone that when you are finished you will have entered into a different state of awareness relative to the

QUASI-CONTRACTUS TRAFFIC COURT

The Answer and the Means to Void "Their" quasi & psuedo-laws follows:

But first -- Please read & study the following ---

"Government is but the <u>agent</u> of the <u>Sovereign People</u>,""Sovereign People" --- as defined within <u>Chisolm v Georgia</u>, 2 Dall. 455, 1Led. 4401

&

State v. Chase, 175 Minn. 259, 220 N.W. 951, 953.

But "They" have altered your status, capriciously presuming you are in commerce.

Unalienable -- "Not in commerce." -- Bouvier's Law Dictionary - 1914 ed., 2nd Volume

"The Right to Travel is a Constitutionally Guaranteed Right."

<u>Guaranteed Right</u> -- "A right guaranteed to a person by the Constitution of the state or United States

Constitutions, and so guaranteed <u>as to prevent legislative interference with that right."</u>

16 Am J2d Const Law s 328 -- Ballentine's Law Dictionary (Used by Judges)

A Right which "They" abridge by mere statute!

"Travel" - "A constitutional right under the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution."

Aptheker v Secretary of State, 378 US 500, 12 L Ed 2d 992, 84 S Ct 1659 "To travel is to pass or make a journey from place to place -- whether on foot, on horse back, or in any conveyance. Hendry v North Hampton, 72 NH 351, 56 A 922.

Those who alter, interfere, or prevent any execution of the law of the land are perpetrating a criminal act in violation to the Public Law as found in the U.S. Constitution Art. 3 s 3 -- "Levying War"

Levying War - "The words include forcible (unlawful) opposition, as the result of a combination (conspiracy) of individuals, to the execution of any Public Law (positive law, the Law of the Land, the Constitutions) of the United States of America; and to constitute treason within the Federal Constitution there must be a combination (conspiracy) of individuals (i.e., Congress / legislators) united for the common purpose of forcibly preventing the execution of some Public Law (i.e., by gun laws, restricting right to travel, etc.) and the actual or threatened use of force by the combination (Congress or state legislators) to prevent its execution."(threat of arrest)

Kegerreis v. Van Zile, 167 N.Y.S. 874, 876, 180 App. Div. 414.

However, as threatening as this sounds, the legal profession and other traitors have created "escape hatches" <u>for</u> themselves --

BUT before we go into to the means to bring them to justice, as is deservant of all international and domestic terrorists let us look at the "disclaimer clause" for their treason ---

GOVERNMENT DE FACTO (Please study --

even though you believe you know this subject matter)

"A government of fact. A government actually exercising power and control in the state, as opposed to the true and lawful government (de jure) -- a government not established according to the constitution of the state, or not lawfully entitled to recognition or supremacy, but which has nevertheless supplanted or displaced the government de jure. A government deemed unlawful, or deemed wrongful or unjust, which, nevertheless receives presently habitual obedience from the bulk of the community. **Aust. Jur. 324.**

DE FACTO OFFICER

Admission of Criminal Act of Levying War by Public Servants

The following declarations were made before three (3) witnesses, after a heated discussion:

1. "O.K., O.K., I know, I know, we all know! -- <u>the Public has the Right to Travel</u>, but if we didn't subject them to traffic statutes <u>how would we be able to control them?</u>"--This Personal and National CONTROL, spoken of, goes far beyond the unlawful restrictions of the public roads, and is a shackle forged and locked about our collective necks by the "legal" system, until now.

<u>Deputy Commissioner of the Maine Department of Transportation</u> - <u>Driver's License Department</u> -- <u>1986</u>

2. "Do not refer to us as public servants -- we are no longer 'public servants' -- we are now government officials."-- Public servant within the Maine Department of Transportation - Bridge Division. These people are "officials," but only so to others within government. Since we are now wrongfully and improperly considered a quasi-corporation, which is controlled by the government, since government has dominion and control over corporations We, therefore, are subject to govt. "officials."

The Traffic Court -- Quasi-Contractus -- Unjust Enrichment, et al

Continues after this brief Message

12 February 1865 -- Abraham Lincoln, in reference to the traitorous "Radical Republicans," (neo-Federalists) -- declared, "The People are the rightful masters of both Congress and the Courts. Not to overthrow the Constitution, but to overthrow the men who pervert the onstitution!

YES, THERE IS NO DOUBT -- WE MUST UNWAVERINGLY SUPPORT OUR GOVERNMENT AND OUR MILITARY DURING THIS PERIOD OF "WAR" WITH TERRORISM -- HOWEVER:

It must always be remembered that there are those select individuals within government who by their ignorance of the Law, or purposely, "pervert the Constitution," having the same criminal intent as do the International Terrorists, although their motives may be different, their intent is exactly the same -- to destroy this Nation and Our Guaranteed Liberties -- so to replace it with a foreign form of government.SEE: **TRAITORS**

There exists now, as in all history, those who are either foreign detractors and terrorists or domestic traitors, who are direly more dangerous and be considered domestic terrorist, giving aid and comfort to Our enemies. Our Constitution and its branches, being the Law of the Land, that was adopted from the selection of the Common Law of England that was in practice within the Colonies prior to the Revolution. The freedoms contained have been been, since adoption of the Bill of Rights, been subject to attacks by both of these sinister elements.

It must be remembered that Our body of Law, derived from the state and federal Constitutions, declares it to be either Common Law, or Common Law statutized. The legal profession, throughout recorded history, has perverted all things that it has touched.

Within America they have, without constitutional authority, self-ordained themselves through fraud, concealment, and creation of an Aristo-Democracy, the Queen's of Heart, convoluting the law and reversing its meaning. We will explain how they have converted and unlawfully conveyed your property rights, that destroyed your status, as a one of the Sovereign People, through fraudulent claims of "evidence of debt" from the "Law of the Shetar" absorbed into Our Common and commercial law.

Force and fear of arms subjected by the perverted police powers and Secret Equity

The Beginning of the End for the Traffic Courts and Summary Process

by Administrative Fiat

***** While in the process of preparing this presentation, relative to exposing the quasi-"judicial law court" as having no authority, power, or constitutional viability — and which exist and functions only by force and fear of arms, I was handed the decision by the State of the Minnesota Supreme Court — Appellate File Nos. C7- 97-926, C8-97-1132, C9-98-33, C7-97-1512. HYPERLINK "<a href="http://www.antishyster.com" — RECENTLY PRINTED BY THE ANTI-SHYSTER "MAGAZINE." This appellant case, filed by Constitutional Lawyers, proves what you are about to read within this Program, regarding the "Traffic Courts" being merely quasi-contractus courts (administrative hearings) possessing no duly authorized power, unless You give them that "Power." This Program will expose "Their" fiction.

It is my sincere belief that with the information you are about to absorb from this Program, and the conclusion of the above case, We the People are about to Liberate ourselves from the tyranny of lawyers, the administrative law, and those in government who would "pervert" the Constitution. We must not allow Our Liberties to be any further eroded by anyone -- foreign terrorists or domestic terrorists within own Our government.

This Program will prove that statement, while at the same time presenting the weaknesses of their "Trojan Horse" -- a/k/a the Administrative Law & Procedural Act, and their quasi-judicial courts that "perform" only by force and fear of arms, possessing no substance, only form and unauthorized, unlawful coercion. The form is the facade offered for imagery in "Their" attempt to convince You the "Their" fictional "government" which "They" have created -- based upon their pseudo-philosophy -- "Secret Equity." Thi is the initial, but most devious intrusion into the Law of the Land by the One World Government's Quislings.

<u>Traffic courts as a Quasi-Judicial Operation</u> Utilizing the Quasi-Contractus Scheme

OUASI --

Bouvier's Law Dictionary, Vol. I, 1914 ed.

"As if it were, but not. Resembling. As if, almost as it were. Mere appearance or want of reality."

It is the Looking Glass syndrome through which the "legal" profession has induced us through.

QUASI-CRIMES --

Ibid (Referenced as above)

1. "Offences for which some person other than the actual perpetrator is responsible, the perpetrator being presumed to act by command of the responsible party. (The "other person" is designated with your name, William Smith capitalized and considered (fiction of law) the corporate PERSON and entity, WILLIAM SMITH, (your servant in this master - servant relationship, since they have, by Fiction of Law, "altered" your status to one incommerce -- WHO performs as a quasi-corporate public service -- a common carrier -- "transporting" passengers and freight in commerce, a condition that indicates you have abandoned your Unalienable Rights.

<u>UNALIENABLE</u> -- "<u>Not in commerce</u>." "As the roads and rights are unalienable." (Therefore, since they have "altered" your status from being "one of the the <u>sovereign People</u>" (<u>Chisolm v. Georgia</u>) -- to one in commerce, <u>You</u> are then YOU, according to "Them" -- being absent and void your Unalienable Rights.

QUASI-CONTRACTUS"COURTS"--

West's Business Law - 1982 ed.

Any "court" applying / imposing a quasi-contractus, which involves a "traffic complaint," or a "violation" in the nature of a crime -- where there is no real victim, and which is interpreted by the court as 'unjust enrichment"-- since your JOHN DOE is involved as a quasi-public service corporation, a common carrier of passengers and freight, you are "obviously" utilizing the public roads, which possess unalienable status, YOU are, being in commerce, are deriving "unjust enrichment" from such use, a benefit which is under the regulatory control of the DMV -- the Department of Motor Vehicles. cite

(However, since this "falls" within the "Traffic" court jurisdiction, which is an administrative hearing, the administrative hearing officer's act is a quasi-judicial act -- a quasi-judicial act -- is a judicial act performed by one not a judge -- such are the acts performed by any inferior court judge, or even a Superior Court judge when he enters into a commercial setting where quasi-torts are "tried" -- since commercial violations were made into quasi-crimes after the turn of the 20th Century.*

* <u>QUASI-CRIMES</u> - <u>Offences</u> for which <u>some person</u> other than <u>the actual perpetrator</u> is responsible, the perpetrator being presumed to act by command of the responsible party. (Continued beyond the following explanation)

(To fulfill the requirements of fiction of law dictates, regarding the Master-Servant relationship doctrine, you are required to have a servant (agent) in your quasi-corporate public service "operation." Since you have none, these socio-legal pseudo social engineers create one -- by having your name capitalized, i.e., John Henry Doe becomes JOHN HENRY DOE --- now, the fraud is complete since only quasi-persons can be "summonsed" into quasi-judicial hearings held by quasi-judicial non-judges, who hear only quasi-crimes (quasi-torts) and who "adjudicate" quasi-decisions, submitting quasi-Orders, that are merely regular on their face, (SEE: Regular on its Face - below) and when you properly declare them to be so, whatever "process" is imposed upon you is immediately void)

(This is due to the fact, that when operating within a government de facto, the de facto "officer" <u>cannot create</u> <u>an authentic</u> "document, warrant, process, or Order." If they did so they would be returning to and recreating the de jure functions of a lawful government. This is one of the major rules of de facto, there are many that exposes their problems that we have yet to attack but will discuss at the Seminars)

THE PROCESS BY WHICH THE GOVERNMENT DE FACTO MUST OPERATE Their Major Weakness

****Read carefully -- "REGULAR ON ITS FACE" - "Process is "regular on its face" when it proceeds from a court, officer, or body [de facto] having authority of law to issue process of that nature, and is legal in form and contains nothing to notify or fairly apprise any one that it is issued without authority." I repeat -- "... issued without authority."

"Their" entire de facto operation is "regular on its face" since all documents and process are "issued without authority." Government de facto does nothing lawful, has no authority, except what is derived from unconstitutional force and fear by arms. However, those coercive acts nullify and destroy any agreement or contract initiated during the government de facto's reign by duress.

The courts have maintained that a police officer is required to serve a "regular on its face" process, in good faith --- however, the court continues --- "... nevertheless, once the officer, judge, prosecutor is notified in any manner that the process (summons, ticket, warrants, whatever) is irregular, the process is automatically void, and the process is served, by the officer, is done so at his own risk."

Refusing to stipulate to the Capricious, decisions and declarations of "Their" Summary, Inferior, Arbitrary "court"

OUASI-JUDICIAL ACT

Black's Law Dictionary, 4th ed.

A judicial act performed by one <u>not</u> a <u>judge</u>. (Both "judge" and "prosecutor" are without / void immunity, since they have entered into a commercial, quasi-judicial act.)

"When government lowers itself into commerce it voids its own immunity."

Primary Jurisdictional Doctrine --

Ballentine's Law Dictionary (Judges' Law Dictionary)

"The principle that the courts can not or will not determine a controversy involving a question which is within the jurisdiction of an <u>administrative tribunal</u>, prior to the decision of that question by the administrative tribunal. (1) where the case demands the exercise of administrative discretion, requiring the <u>special</u> (*) knowledge, experience, and services of the administrative tribunal, to determine technical and

intricate matters of **fact**; (**) -- (2) where uniformity of ruling is essential to comply with the purposes of the regulatory statute administered." 2 Am J2d Admin L sect. 788.

* Special -- see: Case stated -- Bouvier 1914 ed.

These courts are "quasi-contract courts" --- remember! -- quasi-crimes are commercial "crimes," and jurisdiction can be <u>imposed upon one who is</u>, or <u>presumed to be</u>, in commerce and using the public road. Therefore, HE no longer has Unalienable Rights, unless he collaterally and properly attacks the presumption. This is why the "judge" in the inferior, traffic courts tells you he "does not want to hear anything concerning Constitutional Rights" -- your are not in a court offering substantive due process -- your are in a summary, commercial, maritime, admiralty, administrative hearing -- since all matters today are transacted by "contract" within their de facto, commercial "government" setting. (There is no true, good, legal consideration if your work is done in exchange for Federal Reserve Notes.

SPECIAL RULE OF COURT

Ballentine's Law Dictionary

"An order of court <u>adopting</u> a rule of practice or a method of procedure in the particular cause before the court, as a <u>departure</u> from the<u>usual</u> practice or procedure.

"If under the <u>stipulation</u>, the judge was to <u>abdicate</u> for a time, and proceed, as an <u>arbitrator</u>, to hear and decide the facts and the law, and upon such findings was again to proceed as a court to pronounce judgment, this was an <u>adoption</u> of that method of trying the cause. The <u>submission</u> was thus made a <u>special rule of court</u>, and was <u>not revocable</u>." <u>Hastings v. Jones</u> (CA7 III) 18 F2d 833.

Special & Special Court

These are all special courts, which will be defined and discussed at the Seminars.

Traffic Ticket

<u>Traffic</u> - "The operations of a carrier in transporting freight and passengers. Buying and selling; commercial intercourse. The passing of goods or commodities from one person to another for an equivalent in goods or money."

Ticket - "A memo to a contract."

Arbitration

Arbitration Act

"Federal statute providing for the arbitration of disputes involved in maritime transaction or commerce."

MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

"Agency within the Department of Commerce which promotes and regulates the activities of the U.S. merchant maritime activities, determining, and manages other areas of merchant operations.

The Maritime Act of 1981 transferred the Maritime Administration to the <u>Department of Transportation</u>." ----- from whence you obtain your "driver's license for your "motor vehicle."

MOTOR VEHICLE

18 USC 31

"Motor Vehicle means every description of carriage or other contrivance propelled or drawn by mechanical power and used for commercial purposes." ----- farewell unalienable if not prepared.

SPECIAL APPEARANCE

Abolished

Special Appearance has been abolished. Upon entering into the court, jurisdiction applies by doctrine of absolute / strict liability -- if you personally make an appearance, -- and by any means defend the charges

against you, at which time the <u>Doctrine of 'Volenti non fit injuria'</u> automatically goes into effect. You must contest through de benne esse presentation and "appearance."

We can now prove the traffic court statutes void and quash the "criminal" complaints.

There also exists a 350-year-old administrative, controlling regulation, whereby, any judge who obtains any form of return from fines subjected upon the individual before him is in conflict of interest, and the decision is void.

DOCTRINE OF VOLENTI NON FIT INJURIA

<u>LATIN:</u> -- "the volunteer suffers no wrong. No legal wrong is done to one who consents. (appearance into their strict liability jurisdiction) -- In tort law (traffic is a quasi-tort) the principle that usually damages cannot be claimed by one who has consented to the activity (traffic court) that caused the damages."

"Status"

"Status must be defined prior to any other process. If not the party cannot recover."

Side - Note

Prior to Roosevelt's administrative mania (1933) the only mens re complaint that could be brought against someone who was traveling upon the roads was when they were performing in a "wanton and furious driving" ("driving" at that time had no sinister, administrative connotation). Therefore, how did they create a crime out of a non-victim act, which was required by the Common Law ?? This was simple for those within the hierarchy of the legal profession -- wait for a National Crisis (depression or war) then strike, by utilizing the "Emergency" powers of government, as well as "Their" distortion of the rule of fiction of law, while perverting the constitutions (state and federal), and the creation of a fictitious, counterfeit, de facto government.

Thereafter, "They" — by bogusly presuming You are steeped in commerce, acting in Your own capacity as a "quasi-public service corporation," driving a "motor vehicle" — then, practice fraudulent concealment by creation of an unconstitutionally vague statute. This would mean that, by slight of hand, "They" have, piecemeal, ever so carefully, re-created this Nation into United Quasi-States of America after subverting Our Common Law then, by force and fear, through coercion, "They" led us through "Their" personally fabricated Looking Glass, to wander confused and hopeless with Alice in a "world" of counterfeit rules of court, pseudo administrative "laws," and perverted constitutional "rights," now deemed privileges, "allowed" by government.

- 1. Motor vehicle -- a motored conveyance used for commerce.
- 2. <u>Unconstitutionally vague statute</u> -- "Statute is <u>unconstitutionally vague</u> if it <u>either fails</u> to <u>give people of ordinary intelligence</u> a <u>reasonable opportunity</u> to <u>learn what it prohibits</u> or <u>fails to provide explicit standards</u> for <u>those who will apply it.</u>" <u>State v. Takacs</u>, 169 Ariz. 392, 394, 819 P.2d 978, 980 (App. 1991)
- 3. <u>Subverting Our Common Law</u> Common Law Rules Regular session of Congress NOT since the alteration of the 17th Amendment -- Senators now at Large.
- 4. Government is the machinery for the form and philosophy of government (Republic) that We have selected and are guaranteed. Those who operate that machinery, who have upon their own volition voluntarily requested that We place them there, are merely the agents (servants master servant relationship) of the state (We, the sovereign People). However, through a long line of criminal acts and fraudulent concealments, i. e., unlawful status alteration, "traffic laws," (commercial regulations) which are actually quasi-torts, based upon commercial "contracts" and quasi-contractus presumptions, capriciously believed by "law judges" (administrative hearing officers) We have been lulled into Their world of fiction.

The entire process to Void the Traffic Courts will be presented at the Seminars, scheduled. We hope you will be present and intend to teach these principles of Law to others. Please see all portions of this Program.

Thank you, Thomas S. Dunn

SIDE - NOTE

Since the tragedy of 11 September 01, "Patriotism" is no longer a dirty word -- it is now a rallying cry!

Therefore, I speak to You as one who has served within government since the age of 19 years of age, initially serving with the N.S.A., the National Security Agency, then with the Baltimore Police Department, until injured in the line-of-duty, retired and pensioned. Relocating to a farm in Maine, whereupon I was requested by the Maine Attorney General's Office to assist in a series of investigations, relative to fraud, embezzlement, bankruptcy fraud, land fraud, and misappropriations of federal high school construction funds. (Winslow, Maine)

I ask You, could it possibly be that those, who for years railed against the willful negligence of those in government, could have been on point?? -- having to suffer the insults by the news media, certain knownothing-individuals who claim to be "liberals," but are not, and government bureaucrats who suffer from self - anointed titles of nobility. Where these "Patriots" not only "ahead of the curve," they scored many a resounding break-throughs by their enlightening research. In fact, does not the opposition to Patriotism deserve the credit for the previous fervor and desire to expose and reveal the collusions, past and present, perpetrated by those within and without government who have corrupted and perverted the Laws of the Land. Could it be --- could it possibly be -- that those previously defamed and ridiculed as being patriotic --- were the only ones who were right?

The 18th Century German <u>Philosopher</u> Schopenhauer, (s17 -s 18) knowing well of the superficialness of human thought and diminished reasoning, declared -- "there are <u>three steps</u> in the <u>revelation</u> of <u>Truth</u> -- in the 1<u>st</u>, -- Truth is ridiculed by the masses; in the 2<u>nd</u>, -- it is resisted by a majority; **but** in the 3<u>rd</u>, -- it is claimed, by those who formally rejected Truth, to have always been considered self-evident."

And so it is proven, by ceaseless <u>historical redundancy</u>, that although man has advanced dramatically along the technical plain he has, over the ages, learned little in regards to himself, while forever performing the same self-inflicted, injurious "mistakes" upon himself, his family, and his Nation.

NO MORE!! Those within Our [Family of Patriotic Americans] are presenting you a means to awaken those others who would erroneously believe that those high in the Federal Government are

I do not speak as one who has recently seen the "light."

I am one who, throughout my entire life, since my late teens, was made aware of this international conspiracy -- through my personal experiences. When serving with the N.S.A. within the Far East, within the ASAFE Command -- I personally encountered the information involved in the sell-out of this Country by those who had become sycophants to foreign influences and powers. The "Cold War" was a charade upon the world --- it was a staged drama, while those in authority within this Nation gathered greater power and profits.

I know personally these neo-Quislings I name, one being former Senator William Cohen, Sect. of Defense under Clinton, who throughout his administration gave aid and comfort to our Chinese enemies. Senator Shummer, Senator James Mitchell, Senator Olympia Snowe. These Quislings have no loyalty to you or this Country. They are the puppets of their Master Puppeteers, and march to the tune of the international banking system.