

**Certification of good standing, and faith**

All petitioners submit this petition for reconsideration, in good faith and good standing as Sovereign people having the right to redress this court and the United States, and State government bodies.

**This petition for reconsideration is in compliance with all court rules**

**VERIFICATION OF FACTS IN LAW FOR THIS FILING**

We, *Petitioners* do hereby affirm and declare under oath according to the Laws for the united states of America present to United States Code 28 U. S. 1746 (1) without the United States that the foregoing accounting of facts herein are factual and correct, complete and not misleading in any way to the best of my knowledge so help me and

November 14, 2025

Family-Name Parenteau: Given-Name Edmond George Sr

Autograph: */s/ Parenteau; Edmond George Sr*

Family Name Davis: Given Name William Hercules Jr

*Autograph: /s/ Davis: William Hercules Jr*

Autograph: */s/ Harvey; Revette-Marcella*

**Family Name Harvey: Given Name Revette-Marcella**

Autograph: */s/ Wishengrad: Marc David*

**Family Name Wishengrad: Given-Name Marc David**

Autograph */s/ Mercury; Amy*

**Family Name Mercury; Given-Name Amy**

“Without Recourse, and All Rights Reserved

No 25-5530

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

**Petition for Reconsideration of The Denial of courts Ruling November 10, 2025**

(1) Family-Name Parenteau; Given-Name Edmond George Sr Sovereign people, for Trust EDMOND GEORGE PARENTEAU (2) Family Name Davis; Given Name William Hercules Jr for the Trust WILLIAM HERCULES DAVIS (3) Family Name Harvey; Given-Name Revette-Marcella for the Trust REVETTE MARCELLA HARVEY (4) Family Name Wishengrad: Given-Name Marc David, For the Trust MARC DAVID WISHENGRAD (5) Family Name Mercury; Given-Name Amy, for the Trust AMY MERCURY,

*Petitioners,*

vs.

United States Et al Including all its Departments, Commissions, Boards, Entities, and States Instrumentalities such as UNITED STATES DOT, States DMV Departments Et al however, not limited to STATE OF NEW YORK Corporate Offices, or Current Successors; STATE OF NEW JERSEY Corporate Offices Or Current Successors; STATE OF GEORGIA Corporate Offices Or Current Successors; STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA Corporate Offices Or Current Successors; STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS Corporate Offices or Successors; UNITED STATES DOT Corporate Offices and all Corporate Officers, Public Officials, Persons, Employees, Officers at 'Town' 'County Of' and all law enforcement personnel at local Town, County, State, and Federal level Including any and all Successors

***Respondents.***

---

**Petition for Reconsideration of The Denial of Petition Ruling November 10, 2025.** Family-Name Parenteau; Edmond George Sr in Care of The Everything Store 15 Main Street Sidney, New York.

**Manifest Injustice-- This Petition for Reconsideration of The Denial of court's Ruling**

**November 10, 2025, is to prevent a clear or obvious injustice.**

Manifest injustice refers to a decision or outcome in a legal case that is clearly unfair, unreasonable, or erroneous to a degree that shocks the conscience and undermines the integrity of the judicial process. Fact **The Denial of original Petition Ruling November 10, 2025, caused a manifest injustice because the court's decision was based on a ruling that contradicts the evidence and facts presented in the original Petition by all Petitioners as follows, and**

This Court's Ruling November 10, 2025, failure to address the facts and evidence presented in the original Petition by all Petitioners and the lower courts' refusal to address the Constitutional Provisions and all Respondents Law violations of all Petitioners

Constitutionally protected "Right to Freely Travel" and violation of due process of law, and (2). Petitioners have factually established (**by Respondents' own admission**) that, according to constitutionally protected rights, the United States, and States were acting outside their statutory authority regarding the right to travel, as outlined in the Memorandum of law at # Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4 at Numbers 46 through 59, and

(3). Petitioners have factually established (**by Respondents' own admission**) that according to constitutionally protected rights, the United States and States did in fact forcefully under Color of Law, cause Sovereign people to register their automobiles through the DMV departments when their own rules and regulations prescribe it a voluntary program as outlined in the Memorandum of Law at # Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4 at Numbers 17 through 59, and

(4). Petitioners have factually established (**by Respondents' own admission**) that according to constitutionally protected rights, United States and States through the Departments of DMV did convert a right to travel into a privilege to drive, as outlined in

Memorandum of Law at # Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4 at Numbers 46 through 59

(5). Petitioners have factually established **(by Respondents' own admission)** that according to the Constitution and laws, that the Judge's UNREPORTED OPINIONS Case No. 1:2-cv-00736-KCD and U. S. Appeals Court For the Federal Circuit Case# 2420-2140 did in fact make Critical Errors that resulted in many violations, and

(6). Petitioners have factually established **(by Respondents' own admission)** that an Unreported Opinion does not supersede constitutional law, as outlined in Memorandum of Law at # Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4 at Numbers 16 through 45, and

(7). Petitioners have factually established **(by Respondents' own admission)** that according to constitutional law, the lower court's opinion did not have any basis according to law lacking any findings of facts and conclusions of law and outlined in Memorandum of Law at # Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4 at Numbers 16 through 45, and

(8). Petitioners have factually established **(by Respondents' own admission)** that according to constitutional law, the judges' orders by both Courts rejecting the filing of a collateral attack did act under Color of Law resulting in violation of due process of law as outlined in Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4 at Numbers 16 through 45, and

(9). Petitioners have factually established **(by Respondents' own admission)** that this court failed to follow their own rules by failing to enter the Default judgment as outlined in FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 55, and as outlined in the Default judgment filed by all Petitioners, and

(10). Petitioners have factually established **(by Respondents own admission)** that "An Affidavit uncontested, un rebutted, and unanswered-- Morris v National Cash Register, 44 S.W. 2d 433 Morris v National Cash Register, 44 S.W. 2d 433, **clearly states that "uncontested allegations in an affidavit must be accepted as true."** and **"An Affidavit if not contested in a**

**timely manner is considered undisputed facts as a matter of law.” and “Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak, or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading. We cannot condone this shocking behavior... This sort of deception will not be tolerated and if this is routine it should be corrected immediately.” -- U.S. v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297, 299. See also U.S. v. Prudden, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032; Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932”., and**

(11). Petitioners have factually established that this court has violated “Due Process of law” as outlined. See ‘Due Process’ under the ‘Common Law’. "Due process of law is both a directive and a restriction placed upon the actions of government and thus carries both positive and negative meaning." And "It prescribes what government officials are to do, and what they cannot do in depriving one of life, Liberty or property." The definition of due process is primarily a common law definition which can be stated as follows:

(12). "Due process of law implies and comprehends the administration of law equally applicable to all under established rules which do not violate fundamental principles of private rights, and in a competent tribunal possessing jurisdiction of the cause and proceeding upon justice." "It is founded upon the basic principle that every man shall have his day in court." State vs Green, 232 S. W.2d 897, 903., and

(13) "The Act of depriving one of life, Liberty or property must be one that was known at common law to be due process of law." "This common law procedure is thus the law of the land." "It is clear that the common law is the foundation of what is designated as due process of law." Wulzen vs Board of Sup'rs, 35 Pac. 353, 354, 101 Cal. 15 Citing Thomas M. Cooley, constitutional limitations, p. 356., and

(14). This has always been a well-established rule in america, as stated by the Supreme Court of Mississippi: "It is a familiar learning that the constitutional provisions are to be interpreted in the light of common law as it existed at the time of the revolution, and that the rights

intended to be secured were the rights of Englishman as they existed at the common law as understood at that time." Prick vs State, 105 So. 465, 468., and

(15). Petitioners have factually established that this court has violated "Due Process of law" by giving the appearance of allowing all respondents to continue violating petitioners Right to travel freely, and the appearance of Injustice as outlined in petitioners original Petition/Writ at numbers (16) through (16L) that is hereby incorporated herein as fully stated herein, and

### **Opportunity to Cure**

*(16) Enter a default judgment against all Respondents Et al as required by as outlined in FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 55, and as outlined in the Default judgment filed by all petitioners and therefore, by law this court is required by the Constitution for the united states of America, states republic Constitutions, and well-established Laws, as outlined, in all the Petitioners' Claim by issuing an injunction against all Respondents named above and order all Respondents to recognize, acknowledge, and honor all the Petitioners' Right to Travel non-Commercial use (not required to have Driver's License, Registration and Insurance ) upon all roads, highways for personal use in the united states of America exempt from the DMV Driver's License, Registration and Insurance requirements by all STATES and DMV Departments and all Law Enforcement Agencies as a Private Traveler exercising their right to travel not subject/exempt from all STATES DMV Statutes, Codes, and Regulations. And, require all their records/databases to show the status of all the Petitioners as Private Sovereign people, American Nationals, and Nationality (as outlined in 8 CFR § 101.3, and 2016 GPO Styles Manual, page 95, § 5.23 Nationalities, etc.) non-resident as having the Right to Travel non-Commercial use (not required to have Driver's License, Registration and Insurance ) upon all roads and highways for personal use in the united states of America exempt from the DMV Driver's License, Registration and Insurance requirements, and issue an order for judgment damages against each Respondent in the amount of Six Million \$6,000,000.00 backed by precious metals to each Petitioner.*

(17) Petitioners reserve the right to “Amend” this document without leave of the court for the “truth” and “facts” to be clearly stated, and revealed, and

(18). This court’s officers and employees Et al, have ten (10) days from the day you receive this document to affirm or rebut all points outlined above and in all Petitioners’ original Petitions filed in this court’s records. Failure to do so by not answering fully and accompanied by Lawfully documented evidence, as provided, will result in Default on the part of all *Respondents* Et al herein, And

(19). Non-Response, according to the conditions herein, will be Default against all *Respondents*, Incomplete answers and/or lack of documented factual evidence, as outlined herein, will be Default against all Respondent. If all corporate officers and employees Et al fail to respond, as outlined herein within ten (10) days, this will be Default against all Respondents. Non-Response will be a Self-Executing Confession of Judgment upon all Respondents Et al and will be complete agreement with all the statements, terms, and conditions of this contract. This is a contract in Common Law. Any officer of the court that interferes with or involves him or herself with this claim may result in being added to this claim and become a Third-Party Defendant/Respondent. And

***Affidavit Verification by Facts according to Law***

*I, Family-Name **Parenteau**; Given-Name **Edmond George Sr** do hereby affirm and Declared under oath according to the Laws for the united states of America present to United States Code 28 U. S. 1746 (1) without the United States that the foregoing accounting of facts herein are factual and correct, complete and not misleading in any way to the best of my knowledge so help me God and*

November 14, 2025\_

Autograph: 

Family-Name **Parenteau**; Given-Name **Edmond George Sr**

Name Holder/Controller/Beneficiary and Authorized Representative

“Without Recourse” and All Rights Reserved:

*without recourse regulated by for the*

***Guilford post office at general post office***

***Guilford, New York. Non-Domestic Without United States Zip Exempt***

***Email: [Edgeorge44@protonmail.com](mailto:Edgeorge44@protonmail.com) Phone: 607-373-2429***

**Affidavit Verification by Facts according to Law:**

*I, Family Name Davis; Given Name **William Hercules Jr** do hereby affirm and Declared under oath according to the Laws for the united states of America present to United States Code 28 U. S. 1746 (1) without the United States that the foregoing accounting of facts herein are factual and correct, complete and not misleading in any way to the best of my knowledge so help me*

November 12 2025

Autograph:



Family Name Davis; Given Name **William Hercules Jr**

Name Holder/Controller/Beneficiary and Authorized Representative

U. C. C. 3-603 (b) Tender of Payment for Adjustment,

“Without Recourse U. C. C. 3-414(d), (e)” and

All Rights Reserved: U.C.C.1-308/1-207; U.C.C.1-103

*without recourse regulated by U. C. C. 3-402 (b) (1)*

**Morehead post office at general post office,**

**Morehead, North Carolina. Zip Exempt**

**Non-Domestic Without United States Zip Exempt**

**Email: *fordmotorcraft460@proton.me***

***Affidavit Verification by Facts according to Law:***

*I, Family Name **Harvey** Given Name **Revette-Marcella** do hereby affirm and Declared under oath according to the Laws for the united states of America present to United States Code 28 U. S. C. 1716 (1) without the United States that the foregoing accounting of facts herein are factual and correct complete and not misleading in any way to the best of my knowledge so help me.*

November 13, 2025

*Autograph*

*Harvey, Revette-Marcella*  
Family Name **Harvey** Given Name **Revette-Marcella**

Name Holder/Controller/Beneficiary and Authorized Representative

U. C. C. 3-603 (b) Tender of Payment for Adjustment,

“Without Recourse U. C. C. 3-414(d), (e)” and

All Rights Reserved: U.C.C.1-308/1-207; U.C.C.1-103

*without recourse regulated by U. C. C. 3-402 (b) (1) for the*

**c/o post office box 961711, Riverdale, Georgia.**

**Non-Domestic Without United States Zip Exempt**

**Email: Revettemuffin3@yahoo.com**

**Phone: 770-895-6511**

***Affidavit Verification by Facts according to Law:***

*I, Family Name **Wishengrad**; Given-Name **Marc David** do hereby affirm and Declare under oath according to the Laws for the united states of America present to United States Code 28 U. S. 1746 (1) without the United States that the foregoing accounting of facts herein are factual and correct, complete and not misleading in any way to the best of my knowledge so help me*

November 13, 2025

*Autograph: Autograph: /s/ **Wishengrad: Marc David**  
Family Name **Wishengrad**: Given-Name **Marc David***

Name Holder/Controller/Beneficiary and Authorized Representative

U. C. C. 3-603 (b) Tender of Payment for Adjustment,

“Without Recourse U. C. C. 3-414(d), (e)” and

All Rights Reserved: U.C.C.1-308/1-207; U.C.C.1-103

*without recourse regulated by U. C. C. 3-402 (b) (1) for the*

**c/o PO Box 3, Jefferson, New York**

**Non-Domestic Without United States Zip Exempt**

**Email: [marcdavic67@proton.me](mailto:marcdavic67@proton.me), Phone: 917-701-7675**

***Affidavit Verification by Facts according to Law:***

*I, Family Name **Mercury**; Given-Name **Amy** do hereby affirm and declare under oath according to the Laws for the united states of America present to United States Code 28 U. S. 1746 (1) without the United States that the foregoing accounting of facts herein are factual and correct, complete and not misleading in any way to the best of my knowledge so help me*

November 13, 2025

*Autograph: Autograph /s/ **Mercury; Amy**  
Family Name **Mercury**; Given-Name **Amy***

Name Holder/Controller/Beneficiary and Authorized Representative

U. C. C. 3-603 (b) Tender of Payment for Adjustment,

“Without Recourse U. C. C. 3-414(d), (e)” and

All Rights Reserved: U.C.C.1-308/1-207; U.C.C.1-103

*without recourse regulated by U. C. C. 3-402 (b) (1)*

**c/o PO Box 3, Jefferson, New York**

**Non-Domestic Without United States Zip Exempt**

***Email: [itsamymercury@proton.me](mailto:itsamymercury@proton.me) Phone: 617-721-6921***